

Appendix A – Proposed Decision Statement

Great Houghton Neighbourhood Development Plan Decision Statement Regulation 18 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (as amended)

1. Summary

- 1.1 Following an independent examination, West Northamptonshire Council (the “council”) now confirms that the Great Houghton Neighbourhood Development Plan will proceed to a Neighbourhood Plan Referendum.
- 1.2 The decision statement and copies of the Great Houghton Neighbourhood Development Plan and its supporting documentation, including the Examiner’s report are available to view on the council’s website at: [West Northamptonshire Council – Submitted Neighbourhood Plans Northampton Area](#)
- 1.3 Hard copies of this decision statement and the modified version of the Neighbourhood Plan are available for inspection at the following locations during normal opening hours:
 - West Northamptonshire Council, Northampton Area Offices, The Guildhall, St Giles’ Square, Northampton, NN1 1DE
 - The White Hart PH, 39 High Street, Great Houghton, Northampton, NN4 7AF

2. Background

- 2.1 Great Houghton Parish Council, as the qualifying body, applied for all of the Great Houghton Parish to be designated as a neighbourhood area on 6 March 2020. The council designated this as a neighbourhood area on 10 June 2020.
- 2.2 The draft Neighbourhood Development Plan was published by Great Houghton Parish Council for public consultation on 9 April 2021 and closed on 28 May 2021.
- 2.3 Following submission of the Great Houghton Neighbourhood Development Plan to the council in August 2021, the plan was published for consultation by the council. The consultation period ran from 13 September 2021 to 25 October 2021.
- 2.4 Following the submission consultation, the council, with the agreement of the parish council, appointed an independent Examiner, Chris Collison BA (Hons), MBA, MRTPI, MIED, IHBC, to review whether the plan met the basic conditions required by the legislation and should proceed to referendum.
- 2.5 Following the examination, the Examiner’s report was completed in February 2022 and made available on the website. The report concludes that, subject to the making of the modifications recommended in his report, the plan meets the basic conditions set out in legislation and should proceed to a referendum.

3. Decision and Reasons

- 3.1 The council has made the modifications proposed by the Examiner to ensure the plan meets the basic conditions. Table 1 below sets out these modifications and the actions to be taken in respect of each of them. Recommended changes are illustrated differently in the Decision Statement and are shown in the following way:
- Modifications of wording by the Examiner are shown as underlined or strikethrough for deletions.
 - Where the Examiner has not recommended specific wording and the council has had to interpret the recommendation and identify specific wording, this is double underlined or ~~double strikethrough~~. This includes accuracy changes.
- 3.2 The council has considered whether to extend the area in which the referendum is to take place and concluded there is no reason to extend the referendum area beyond the Great Houghton Neighbourhood Area.
- 3.3 The Examiner has concluded that with the specified modifications the Great Houghton Neighbourhood Development Plan meets the basic conditions and other relevant legal requirements. The council concurs with this view.
- 3.4 To meet the requirements of the Localism Act 2011, a referendum will be held which poses the questions; 'Do you want West Northamptonshire Council to use the Neighbourhood Plan for Great Houghton to help it decide planning applications in the neighbourhood area?'
- 3.5 The referendum will take place on 5 May 2022 and will be held at Parsons Memorial Hall, Leys Lane, NN4 0AN.

Table 1: Examiners Recommended Modifications and further editorial changes to the Great Houghton Neighbourhood Development Plan and actions to be taken (set out in plan order)

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| 01 | Front cover | N/A | Regulation 16 Consultation <u>Referendum Version</u> | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 02 | P2, Foreword | N/A | The Great Houghton Neighbourhood Development Plan (GHNDP) has reached the particularly important submission consultation <u>referendum stage</u> | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 03 | P2, Foreword | N/A | Please help to shape the future development of Great Houghton by responding to this consultation. | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 04 | Para 1.2 | N/A | Amend 2 nd sentence: <u>The Northampton</u> LPP2 has reached examination stage. | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 05 | Para 1.2 | N/A | Amend final sentence: The Regulation 16 Draft GHNDP has, therefore, been prepared to take account of the reasoning and evidence informing the emerging <u>Northampton</u> LPP2. | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 06 | Figure 1 | Amend Figure 1 to show Great Houghton Designated Area with red line instead of clue | None. | To better identify the neighbourhood plan area. | Make the suggested minor modification. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 07 | Para 1.4 | N/A | Amend final sentence: The GHNDP has now reached the <u>referendum</u> second stage of formal consultation; the Regulation 16 Consultation. | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 08 | Figure 2 | Amend Figure 2 to show correct stage of plan preparation. | Alter all boxes to orange colour except 'Referendum' box which reverts to green. | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 09 | Para 1.5 | N/A | Delete entire para which includes details of how to respond to the Regulation 16 consultation. | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 10 | Para 4.5 | N/A | Amend second paragraph: <u>The Northampton LPP2 is progressing through its own preparation process. It was submitted to the Secretary of State in February 2021 and the Examination in Public took place in November 2021. Further details can be found here:</u> and the plan has been submitted to the Secretary of State and the examination hearings will take place shortly (for further information go to https://www.northampton.gov.uk/info/2002/05/planning-for-the-future/2553/northampton-local-plan-part-2-submission . | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 11 | Para 4.6 | N/A | Amend 1 st paragraph: The West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy (WNJCS) was adopted in December | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | 2014 and the policies underwent a review in <u>December 2019</u> . | | |
| 12 | Para 4.6 | N/A | <p>Amend final sentence:</p> <p>The WNCS, like the Local Plan Part 2, is also being reviewed, but this review is at a very early stage – an Issues Consultation having been completed</p> <p>https://westnorthantsplan.inconsult.uk/WNSPIssues/consultationHome.</p> <p><u>An Options Consultation on the West Northamptonshire Strategic Plan closed on 6 December 2021.</u></p> <p>https://westnorthantsplan.inconsult.uk/WNSPOptions/consultationHome</p> | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 12a | Para 4.9 | N/A | <p>Amend first sentence:</p> <p>In addition, Policy 13 of the emerging Northampton LPP2 that has been submitted to the Secretary of State for examination was <u>examined in November 2021</u> identifies a large site for housing development in Great Houghton – The Green.</p> | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| 12b | Para 4.10 | N/A | <p>Amend first paragraph and remove Policy 41 text.</p> <p>Policy 41 of <u>the emerging LPP2</u> then sets out <u>the requirements for The Green, Great Houghton</u> more detailed planning policy for the site. <u>The final requirements of this Policy are not yet fixed but will need to take account of the Inspector's Post Hearing Note (dated January 2022) which can be found here: https://www.northampton.gov.uk/info/2002/05/planning-for-the-future/2553/northampton-local-plan-part-2-submission.</u></p> <p>Remove all text of quoted Policy 41 From: Policy 41 The Green, Great Houghton LAA1098 To: Any proposal forwarded for this site should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment. Any proposal should also take into account the fact that the site is included within the Upper Nene Catchment Local standards for surface water drainage of 1 in 200 year plus an allowance for climate change to protect against pluvial flooding.</p> | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | | |
| 12c | Para 5.1 | N/A | <p>Amend first sentence:</p> <p>This section of the GHNDP sets out the planning policies (green yellow boxes) to manage development in the Parish of Great Houghton up to 2029.</p> | To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 13 | P26 - Policy GHNDP1 (para 95 of Examiner's report) | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>Replace part a) with: Retain the Green Buffer shown on Figure 20 of Northampton LPP2 (page 21 of this document) for ecological enhancement and by providing new access points from the village should provide opportunities for outdoor, informal recreation, such as walking <u>Provide a clear separation between the development site and Great Houghton by retaining the Green Buffer area (shaded green on the map on Figure 20 of Northampton LPP2, presented on page 21 of the Neighbourhood Plan) for ecological enhancement. As part of an</u></p> | N/A | To ensure consistency with the emerging Northampton LPP2 and so that the policy is clearly written and unambiguous so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals. | Amend policy GHNDP1 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | <p><u>anticipated strategic allocation of the LPP2 the area identified for ecological enhancement is expected to include, to an extent yet unidentified, a Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (SANG). This will include walking routes, connections to Great Houghton and neighbouring areas, an off-lead dog walking area and protection, enhancement and creation of habitats in line with other policies of the Northampton LPP2</u></p> | | | |
| 14 | P26 - Policy GHNDP1 (para 99 of Examiner's report) | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>Part c) Take into consideration and be sympathetic to the surrounding rural landscape and <u>sensitive to the townscape character of</u> existing small-scale residential development within Great Houghton.</p> | N/A | The term 'townscape' is inappropriate with respect to emerging LPP2 policy and the proposed strategic allocation at The Green, Great Houghton (LAA1098 of the Northampton LPP2). | Amend policy GHNDP1 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 15 | P26 - Policy GHNDP1 (para 99 of Examiner's report) | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>Part d) Include suitable measures to mitigate the impact of <u>any</u></p> | N/A | To reflect para 111 of the NPPF which states that " <i>developments should only be prevented or refused</i> | Amend policy GHNDP1 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | significant volume of additional traffic generated by the development, particularly along The Green and The High Street, Great Houghton. | | <i>on highway grounds if there would be unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe".</i> | |
| 15a | Para 5.3 | N/A | Amend first sentence: Great Houghton village and the surrounding countryside are the subject of significant development pressure arising from <u>from</u> the need to meet Northampton's strategic development requirements for new employment and housing land. | To amend typo. | Make the suggested minor modification. |
| 15b | Para 5.5 | N/A | Amend first 3 sentences of para 5.5: LPP2 proposes an area of search for an area of ecological enhancement – the area shaded green on Figure 20 of LPP2 (see page 20)= <u>The GHNDP proposes that this is retained as a green buffer area for ecological enhancement and to provide clear separation between the development site and Great Houghton</u> area is identified more formally as a Green Buffer, by protecting this area in this way through planning policy, the separation | To reflect changes to Policy GHNDP1 | |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | <p>of Brackmills and The Green (should this allocation be adopted in LPP2) will be strengthened by creating a permanent Green Buffer – criterion a) of Policy GHNDP1. The policy also reflects emerging Northampton LPP2 policy which requires the site to provide a Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (SANG), walking routes, off-lead dog walking area, connections and protection, enhancement and creation of habitats. The GHNDP seeks to amplify this by also identifying the area as an area for informal recreation, such as walking.</p> | | |
| 16 | P28 – Policy GHNDP2 (para 102 of the Examiner's report) | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>In part c) Where consent is needed, encourage the sympathetic location of solar panels to inconspicuous building elevations where they will not have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the Conservation Area;</p> | N/A | <p>It is inappropriate for the policy to state "<i>where consent is needed</i>". Modification recommended to make the policy clear to decision maker.</p> | <p>Amend policy GHNDP2 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation.</p> |
| 17 | P28 – Policy GHNDP2 (para 104 of the | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>In part g)</p> | N/A | <p>To reflect paragraph 203 of the NPPF.</p> | <p>Amend policy GHNDP2 in accordance with</p> |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | Examiner's report) | Buildings of Local Importance as identified in the Conservation Area Appraisal and other non-designated heritage assets should be conserved in manner appropriate to their significance <u>and in accordance with the scale of any harm or loss proposed</u> | | | Examiner's recommendation. |
| 18 | P31 – Policy GHNDP3 (para 114 of the Examiner's report) | Revise policy as follows: Replace the first paragraph with: All new development will be expected to respond positively to the key attributes of the neighbourhood area and the key local design features of the village in which it is to be situated. <u>All new development should be sympathetic to local design features.</u> | N/A | To provide the policy with a clear purpose. | Amend policy GHNDP3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 19 | P31 – Policy GHNDP3 (para 111 of the Examiner's report) | Revise policy as follows: Delete the first sentence of the 2 nd para. Development should seek to exceed minimum standards for energy efficiency and resource use and seek to be carbon neutral, thereby | N/A | The opening statement of the second paragraph of the policy is seeking to establish technical requirements, although it is not clear which minimum | Amend policy GHNDP3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | making a contribution to reducing the effects of climate change. | | standards for energy efficiency and resource use are to be exceeded. | |
| 20 | P31 – Policy GHNDP3 (para 108 of the Examiner's report) | Revise policy as follows: Replace part c) after "new habitats" <u>provides nesting and foraging opportunities (e.g. for birds and bats), and encourages pollinators.</u> <u>Overall, a net gain in biodiversity should be demonstrated in line with the National Planning Policy Framework.</u> nesting (e.g. for birds and bats), encourages pollinators and provides foraging opportunities. Overall a net gain in biodiversity should be demonstrated (for major development such net gains in biodiversity will be assessed against Policy 29 of the emerging Local Plan Part 2); | N/A | To ensure consistency with the NPPF and the emerging Northampton LPP2. | Amend policy GHNDP3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 21 | P31 – Policy GHNDP3 (para 112 of the Examiner's report) | Revise policy as follows: Replace 2 nd sentence of part f) | N/A | The term " <i>highways for hedgehogs</i> " is imprecise. Modification recommended to | Amend policy GHNDP3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | It provides highways for hedgehogs by allowing access through boundary walls and fences <u>it provides for access through boundary walls and fences by hedgehogs;</u> | | ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | |
| 22 | P31 – Policy GHNDP3 (para 112 of the Examiner's report) | Revise policy as follows: Delete the 2 nd sentence of part k) Future housing development will generally be expected to be no more than two storeys. | N/A | The term " <i>will generally be expected to</i> " is imprecise. Modification recommended to ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | Amend policy GHNDP3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 23 | P31 – Policy GHNDP3 (para 111 of the Examiner's report) | Revise policy as follows: Delete part n) It is designed to be as water efficient as possible e.g. by incorporating water collection measures. | N/A | Part n) of the policy seeks to introduce technical requirements, which are imprecise. | Amend policy GHNDP3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 24 | P31 – Policy GHNDP3 (para 112 of the Examiner's report) | Revise policy as follows: Revise part p) It includes features to minimise light <u>spillage beyond the development site pollution;</u> | N/A | The term " <i>light pollution</i> " is imprecise. Modification recommended to ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | Amend policy GHNDP3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| 25 | P31 – Policy GHNDP3 (para 113 of the Examiner's report) | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>Replace part t) All new residential development should provide external wall-mounted charging points for plug-in and other ultra-low emission vehicles for each dwelling that is to have a private drive or garage. Where communal car parking is provided this should also contain charging points. Larger homes, such as those with 3 bedrooms or more, should consider providing facilities to charge more than one vehicle at once</p> <p><u>It is designed to enable the charging of electric vehicles in safe, accessible and convenient locations. As a minimum:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>every new dwelling with an associated dedicated car parking space within its curtilage must include ducting to facilitate the future installation of a vehicle chargepoint; and</u> | N/A | Modification recommended to ensure there is sufficient regard to national policy. | Amend policy GHNDP3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>residential development with communal parking areas, should include ducting to facilitate the future installation of vehicle chargepoints."</u> | | | |
| 26 | P31 – Policy GHNDP3 (para 112 of the Examiner's report) | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>Revise part u) It ensures there is no <u>avoids unnecessary</u> loss of, or damage to, existing trees or woodland. Where trees or woodland cannot be retained they should be replaced preferably on site, where this cannot be achieved suitable offsetting measures to provide replacement should be provided off-site;</p> | N/A | The requirement to ensure no loss of tress is inconsistent with the policy content relating to the replacement of tress. A modification is recommended to ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | Amend policy GHNDP3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 27 | P31 – Policy GHNDP3 (para 110 of the Examiner's report) | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>Replace part v) <u>Applicants will be required to demonstrate how green infrastructure is integrated into the development in accordance with the Northampton Green Infrastructure Plan. Applications should</u></p> | N/A | Modification recommended as the term " <i>where relevant</i> " introduces uncertainty, and a requirement for a green infrastructure plan would not be proportionate and would represent a | Amend policy GHNDP3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | <p><u>demonstrate how the development links to the existing green infrastructure network and how any open spaces and garden areas will be permeable to wildlife.</u> Where relevant, applicants will be required to produce a green infrastructure plan. This should demonstrate how the development links to the exiting green infrastructure network and how any open spaces and garden areas will be permeable to wildlife</p> | | burdensome obligation in the case of small scale or minor development. | |
| 28 | P31 – Policy GHNDP3 (para 112 of the Examiner's report) | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>Delete the final sentence: Poor design when assessed against the above criteria will not be supported.</p> | N/A | A modification is recommended to avoid repetition of the opening paragraphs of the policy and to ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | Amend policy GHNDP3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 28a | Para 5.25 | N/A | <p>Amend 3rd sentence:</p> <p>The GHNDP, through Policy GHNDP3 encourages developers to <u>reduce carbon emissions</u> go beyond the minimum standards in the Building Regulations, so that new</p> | To reflect changes to Policy GHNDP3 opening paragraphs. | Make the suggested minor modification. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | | development in the neighbourhood area makes the move to zero carbon sooner rather than later. | | |
| 29 | P35 – Policy GHNDP4 (para 122 of the Examiner's report). | Revise policy as follows: Delete proposed designation GHNDP4/5 – Brackmills Employment Estate Buffer Zone | N/A | It is inappropriate for the Neighbourhood Plan to designate GHNDP4/5 as Local Green Space when the emerging LPP2 allocates a substantial part of that land for employment development. | Amend policy GHNDP4 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 29a | Para 5.29 | N/A | Remove para 5.29: In addition, outside the built-up area of the village is the Brackmills Employment Estate Buffer Zone – this land provides a buffer between the Estate and the village, in recent times development has eroded this space, hence the need to ensure it is protected in the future. | To reflect the Examiner's modification to Policy GHNDP4/5 (removal of Brackmills Employment Estate Buffer Zone). | Remove para 5.29. |
| 30 | P36 – Figure 5 (para 127 of the Examiner's report). | Present Figure 5 at a greater scale so that the precise boundaries of the designations can be clearly identified, and delete proposed designation GHNDP4/5 from Figure 5. | N/A | To reflect the above change (ID29) | Amend Figure 5 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| 31 | P39 – Policy GHNDP5 (para 130 of the Examiner's report). | Revise policy as follows: Amend policy title – Policy GHNDP5 – Protecting <u>Incidental Public Green Spaces</u> Other Open Spaces | N/A | To reflect clarity provided by Great Houghton Parish Council and to ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | Amend policy GHNDP5 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 32 | P39 – Policy GHNDP5 (para 130 of the Examiner's report). | Revise policy as follows: Replace the following in first sentence: Development that would result in the loss of other open spaces within the Village Confines <u>incidental public green spaces within the existing built-up are of the village</u> will be only supported when: | N/A | To reflect clarity provided by Great Houghton Parish Council and to ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | Amend policy GHNDP5 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 33 | P39 – Policy GHNDP5 (para 130 of the Examiner's report). | Revise policy as follows: In part a) replace wording: Equivalent or better provision is provided elsewhere within a suitable location within the same Village | N/A | To reflect clarity provided by Great Houghton Parish Council and to ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | Amend policy GHNDP5 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| | | Confines boundary in the built-up area of the village | | | |
| 34 | P39 – Policy GHNDP5 (para 132 of the Examiner's report). | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>In part b) replace wording: It can be clearly demonstrated by the applicant that the open green space no longer performs a useful open green space function in terms of the local environment, amenity, or active public recreation use.</p> | N/A | To reflect clarity provided by Great Houghton Parish Council and to ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | Amend policy GHNDP5 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 34a | Para 5.30 | N/A | <p>Amend first 2 sentences of para 5.30:</p> <p>As well as the key green spaces that are suggested for the highest level of protection as designated local green spaces under Policy GHNDP5, the neighbourhood area also has several other important open incidental <u>public green</u> spaces that perform a variety of useful functions. These smaller, often quite incidental open spaces help to make the area a greener place and all add to the quality of life enjoyed by residents and visitors.</p> | To reflect Examiner's proposed modification to Policy GHNDP5. | Amend para 5.30. |
| 35 | P41 – Policy GHND6 (para 138 of the Examiner's report). | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>In part a) replace text: Maintaining <u>Respecting</u> and enhancing the landscape setting,</p> | N/A | Modification proposed to ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | Amend policy GHNDP6 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | landscape features, field and settlement pattern of the neighbourhood area | | | |
| 36 | P41 – Policy GHND6 (para 137 of the Examiner's report). | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>In part b) replace text:</p> <p>Seeking to conserve <i>in situ</i> known heritage assets of archaeological value, including "ridge and furrow" <u>Having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset, seeking to conserve in situ or by record known heritage assets of archaeological value, including ridge and furrow;</u></p> | N/A | Modification proposed to ensure the policy has sufficient regard to national policy (NPPF para 203) and is clearly written and unambiguous. | Amend policy GHNDP6 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 37 | P41 – Policy GHND6 (para 135 of the Examiner's report). | <p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>In part d) replace text:</p> <p>Where new planting and landscaping is proposed it should use native species and be designed in such a way so as to ensure that it is suitable when considered in the wider local landscape, and where appropriate, links to existing woodland and hedgerows <u>New planting and landscaping should use</u></p> | N/A | Proposed modification to ensure consistency with para 174 of the NPPF. | Amend policy GHNDP6 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | <u>native and other suitable species and be designed in such a way so as to ensure that it is suitable when considered in the wider local landscape, and provides stepping-stones or links to existing woodland and hedgerows;</u> | | | |
| 38 | P41 – Policy GHND6 (para 140 of the Examiner's report). | Revise policy as follows: In part e) add text: Promote high quality residential design that respects local townscape and landscape character and reflects <u>is sympathetic to</u> local vernacular building styles, layouts and materials; | N/A | Modification proposed to ensure consistency with para 130 of the NPPF. | Amend policy GHNDP6 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 39 | P41 – Policy GHND6 (para 140 of the Examiner's report). | Revise policy as follows: In part g) add text: Maintaining and, <u>where practical</u> , improving access to the surrounding countryside; and by: | N/A | Proposed modification to ensure the requirement in Policy GHNDP6 g) is limited to where it is practical. | Amend policy GHNDP6 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 40 | P43 – Policy GHNDP7 (para 145 of the Examiner's report). | Revise policy as follows: In first sentence amend text: Where permission is required <u>The</u> change of use or redevelopment of | N/A | It is confusing and unnecessary to for the policy to state " <i>where permission is required</i> ". | Amend policy GHNDP7 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | local community facilities, as listed below, will only be <u>supported</u> permitted for other health, education or community type uses (such as village halls, local clubhouses, health centres, schools and children's day nurseries), unless one of the following can be demonstrated: | | It is intended the Neighbourhood Plan will become part of the Development Plan. The term " <i>permitted</i> " is inappropriate as material considerations will not be known until the time of determination of a proposal. | |
| 41 | P43 – Policy GHNDP7 (para 145 of the Examiner's report). | Revise policy as follows: In last sentence amend text: Proposals that would enhance the appearance, improve access and accessibility to these facilities will be supported when they are in accordance with other development plan policies and the policies of the GHNDP. | N/A | It is confusing and unnecessary to for the policy to state " <i>when they are in accordance with other development plan policies and the policies of the GHNDP</i> ". It is intended the Neighbourhood Plan will become part of the Development Plan. | Amend policy GHNDP7 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 42 | P43 – Policy GHNDP7 (para 145 of the | Modify Figure 6 to identify "The Cemetery" referred to in Policy GHNDP7 | N/A | To rectify omission of the Cemetery in Figure 6. | Amend Figure 6 in accordance with |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | Examiner's report). | | | | Examiner's recommendation. |
| 43 | P46 – Figure 7 (para 150 of the Examiner's report). | Modify Figure 7 to identify "The Cemetery" referred to in Policy GHNDP8. | N/A | To rectify omission of the Cemetery in Figure 7. | Amend Figure 7 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 44 | P47 – Policy GHNDP9 (para 155 of the Examiner's report). | Revise policy as follows: Amend 1 st sentence: Proposals to improve road safety and traffic management throughout the Parish will be fully supported, including: | N/A | It is not possible to demonstrate that proposals are "fully" supported, Modification proposed to ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | Amend policy GHNDP9 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |
| 45 | P47 – Policy GHNDP9 (para 155 of the Examiner's report). | Revise policy as follows: Amend part b): Minimising impact, reducing congestion and improving both traffic flow and Improving road safety for motorists and pedestrians along the A428, e.g. in particular at the junction of High Street and the A428. | N/A | The term "eg." introduces uncertainty. The terms " <i>minimizing impact</i> ", "and " <i>improving ... traffic flow</i> " are imprecise and do not provide a basis for the determination of development proposals. | Amend policy GHNDP9 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. |

| Recommendation ID number | Page / Para / Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's report | Examiner's Recommendation | Details of further editorial changes | Reason | Action to be taken |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|
| | | | | Modification proposed to ensure the policy is clearly written and unambiguous. | |
| 46 | Para 5.41 | N/A | Amend 2 nd sentence of para 5.41: Further strategic related development at the Northampton South Site <u>SUE</u> and The Green (if adopted) will generate additional traffic in particular on Great Houghton High Street and at the junction with the A428 Bedford Road at the northern end of the village. | To amend typo. | Make change to para 5.41. |
| 47 | Section 6 (paras 6.1 – 6.3) | N/A | Remove all of section 6 from: 6.0 How to comment on this document – West Northamptonshire and national planning policies. | Remove Section 6 which details the Regulation 16 consultation. | Remove Section 6. |